

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

PATRICIA ARELLANO,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

CLARK COUNTY COLLECTION SERVICE,  
et al.,

Defendant(s).

Case No. 2:15-cv-01424-JAD-NJK

ORDER

(Docket No. 21)

Pending before the Court is a stipulation to stay discovery pending the resolution of Defendants' motion to dismiss or, alternatively, motion for summary judgment. Docket No. 21. The case law in this district is clear that "[t]he Federal Rules of Civil Procedure do not provide for automatic or blanket stays of discovery when a potentially dispositive motion is pending." *Kor Media Group, LLC v. Green*, 294 F.R.D. 579, 581 (D. Nev. 2013) (quoting *Tradebay, LLC v. eBay, Inc.*, 278 F.R.D. 597, 601 (D. Nev. 2011)). Nor is it a sufficient ground to stay discovery that a ruling on a dispositive motion may impact the scope of discovery needed. *See Kor Media*, 294 F.R.D. at 583 (explaining that a stay of discovery is justified when the Court is "convinced" that the plaintiff will be unable to state a claim). To allow stays of discovery more broadly risks creating unnecessary delay in many cases. *Id.* (quoting *Trzaska v. Int'l Game Tech.*, 2011 WL 1233298, \*4 (D. Nev. Mar. 29, 2011)).

//

1 The parties' stipulation does not address the standards outlined in the caselaw identified above.  
2 Accordingly, the parties' stipulation to stay discovery is **DENIED** without prejudice.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 DATED: February 19, 2016

5   
6 Nancy J. Koppe  
7 United States Magistrate Judge  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28